

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/859,353	05/20/1997	ALCINO RESENDE DE ALMEIDA	Q34367-1	7656	
23117	7590 09/08/2003				
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC			EXAMINER		
1100 N GLEB 8TH FLOOR			LEE, KE	VIN L	
ARLINGTON	VA 22201-4714		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3753	21	
			DATE MAILED: 09/08/2003	ارد	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				1/\\				
•	Application	on No.	Applicant(s)					
	08/859,38	53	ALMEIDA, ALCIN	O RESENDE DE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	•	Art Unit					
	KEVIN L I		3753					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	l. 1.136(a). In no even ply within the stat d will apply and w ute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tin utory minimum of thirty (30) day: ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	3 June 2003							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	This action is	non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde				ne merits is				
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 4-14 is/are pending in the application		ncidoration						
<u> </u>	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
<u> </u>	☐ Claim(s) 13 and 14 is/are allowed.							
<u> </u>								
7) Claim(s) <u>8 and 11</u> is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election r	equirement						
Application Papers	or election i	ецинетст.						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b)	objected to by the Exa	miner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to t								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on			oved by the Examin	ier.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in r		ffice action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		• •	·					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	Bureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage				
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	l application).				
a) The translation of the foreign language p	-							
Attachment(s)	•	-						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	28 & 30 .		y (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT					

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Applicant's Request for Reconsideration

Applicant's request for reconsideration filed June 23, 2003 has been thoroughly reviewed and considered by the examiner. In view of applicant's arguments, the claim objections and claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph are hereby withdrawn.

Allowable Subject Matter

The indicated allowability of claims 4-14 is withdrawn in view of further reconsideration of the patent to Short (U.S. patent no. 4,390,061). Rejections based on the cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 4-7, 9, 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Short (U.S. patent no. 4,390,061). The patent to Short discloses an apparatus used to produce liquids from a well, the apparatus provided in an oil well (10) having a casing (22) with tubing (80) concentrically disposed therein. Given the

Application/Control Number: 08/859,353

Art Unit: 3753

broadest reasonable interpretation of the claims of the instant invention, the gas injection element (64) of Short is readable as a "gas-lift valve" since the injected gas lifts the well fluid upwardly through a venturi member (46) and into a production tubing (24). The injected gas is from an external source. The gas injection element (64) includes a port (68) through which compressed gas is passed through a venturi housing (54), a gas injection passage (66), and the venturi member (46), col. 4, line 56 thru col. 5, line 2. The venturi member is readable as the claimed "nozzle" having a continuously open passage comprising a curved inlet portion, a straight intermediate portion and a curved, outwardly tapered, conical shaped outlet portion. A "high velocity jet of gas" is directed through the venturi member, col. 5, lines 10-14.

Applicant has previously argued on page 12 of the amendment filed May 8, 2001 (Paper no. 17) that the Short reference did not anticipate the rejected claim 4 because Short's structure "is not disposed for production fluid therethrough" and because Short's device is a jet pump operating differently from a "gas lift valve." Upon further reconsideration, applicant's arguments are not persuasive. First, the claims of the instant application do not preclude fluid flow through the venturi member. The claims only recite that the compressed gas flows through the venturi sections. In Short, the compressed gas also flows through the venturi member (46) with the claimed limitation of speeding up initially through the inlet of the venturi member and slowing down through the outlet of the venturi member. Second, although the Short device is a jet pump, it is noted that the instant claims broadly recite an apparatus comprising a "gas-

lift valve" without providing limitations precluding the application of the Short reference in anticipating the claims.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 13 and 14 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **KEVIN** L LEE whose telephone number is (703) 308-1025. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

September 4, 2003

Kevin Lee rimery Examiner